

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

[SI	FRIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	0	7/937,560 08/31/92 MC	GARVEY	R	A-19095	
					EXAMINER —CUMMINGS.S	
			E4M1/0706			
		LANE, AITKEN AND MC CANN WATERGATE OFFICE BUILDING,	SUITE 600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	2600 VIRGINIA AVENUE, N.W.				3	
	WA	ASHINGTON, DC 20037		2406		
Thi	នរនេ	communication from the examiner in charge of your applic	ation.	DATE MAILED:	07/06/93	
		SIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS				
п,	îhle a	pplication has been examined Respor	nsive to communication filed on	٦	This action is made final.	
	11113 6	pplication has been examined	isive to communication med on	30	J This action is made intai.	
		ed statutory period for response to this action is so respond within the period for response will cause to		•	ays from the date of this letter.	
				00 0.0.0. 10	•	
Part	_	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART				
1. 3.		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-89 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.			O-948. lication, Form PTO-152.	
5.		Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes,	_			
Part I	n .	SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1	`ম্ব	Claims 1-23	-		are pending in the application	
••	/ -4	•				
		Of the above, claims	······································	are	withdrawn from consideration	
2.		Claims			have been cancelled.	
3.		Claims			are allowed.	
4.		Claims			are rejected.	
5.		Claims			are objected to.	
R	χ					
	_	- -				
7.	Ц	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this	Office action.			
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).				
10.	· 🗆	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been _ approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been approved. disapproved (see explanation).				
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority-under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has				
		been filed in parent application, serial no	; filed on _	-		
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in				
	_	accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua		., p		
14.		Other			•	

Serial Number: 07/937,560 -2-

Art Unit: 2406

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I.) figs. 1, 2, 5, and 6
- II.) figs 3, 4, 7, and 8
- III.) figs. 9, 10, 13, and 14
- IV.) figs. 11, 12, 15, and 16

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Serial Number: 07/937,560

-3-

Art Unit: 2406

Air Omic 2100

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott W. Cummings whose telephone number is (703) 308-0791.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0771.

S.C.

July 1, 1993

Scott W. Cummings

Patent Examiner

Att. Cum